

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

dress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/472,558	BAHRAMIAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Peter Paras, Jr.	1632	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 24 August 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condit	ition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely nination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	y filed Request for Continued
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) 🛭	$\square$ The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the fewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE IT 706.07(f).	he final rejection.
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136 peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the feen R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the ove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final reject dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ee. The appropriate extension fee under e final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the pe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in the appeal.
2.🖂	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a)	a) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (se	ee NOTE below);
(b)	b) 🛛 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c)	<ul> <li>they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mater issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d)	d) $\square$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a se canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	parate, timely filed amendment
5. 🖾	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been consideration in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	dered but does NOT place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY traised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	o issues which were newly
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[ explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an wor appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	Delivian Clark
	Claim(s) allowed:	DEBORAH J. R. CLARK
	Claim(s) objected to:	PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27, 50, 52</u> .	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disappr	oved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
10.	Other:	

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/472,558



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issues as follows: the phrase "under conditions devoid of a selection for integration" is indefinite because it is not clear which conditions are used in the method as claimed; furthermore, claim 11 as amended would require a new search because the following limitations were not previously claimed: no selection, no integration, and no disruption of an endogenous gene sequence. Additionally, the phrase "under conditions devoid of a selection" raises issues of new matter as there is no support for such a limitation in the specification or the original claims.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: because the claims as amended do not overcome the 112, 1st rejection with respect to enablement of in vivo methods of muting expression of an endogenous gene. In vivo methods of gene delivery and expression are unpredictable. See pages 2-4 of the Office action mailed on 3/14/01. Also, the claims as amended do not overcome the rejection under 112, 2nd paragraph with regard to the terms "population of animal cells" and "population of cells" as it still is not clear which cell types are targeted. See page 6 of the Office action mailed on 3/14/01.